13 January 1958

MEMORANDUM FOR: Mr. Houston

SUBJECT

: Proceedings in Personnel Inquiries

of Certain Mapleymes

The Curie Hall and of the Office has recently become involved in three different employee cases and I gather others may be forthcoming. We have had a number of discussions suong ourselves and with who is doing the staff work for the Office of Personnel, and we have gotten into a number of points which I would like to discuss with you at your convenience. (a) reises the point that in some of the cases a legal Advisor was asked to serve on a case on which the recommendation had been made that an employee be separated under Section 102(c) of the National Security Act. We were not saked to savine as to whether 102(c) is the proper authority. I have the impression that some, if not all, of these cames have been discussed with you prior to our getting into them, but I as not sure that this is so and, in any event, I am not sure that the 102(c) recommendation has carried your approval. Although the fact of not being saked to recommend concerning Section 102(a) does not keep us from considering this point, it does make it more difficult to sell suggestions. We will make it a practice to discuss these with you and Mr. to determine any previous STATINTL Office participation. (b) The case I am in reached me after some smartu as to whether this should be an ERB, and whether it is an ERB. received two letters of appoint TATINTL In consequence, Mr. and one from General Cabell, ment, one from Mr. _____ and one from General Cabell, both purporting to designate him as a Special ERB, not-STATINTL withstanding the fact that MRB's under J STATINTL are to be appointed by the Director and to advise him. Also in this case, the employee received a notification from the Director of Personnel, notifying him of an in-

STATINTL

STATINTL

tention to terminate and of the appointment of the ERB

Approved For Release 2002/06/05 : CIA-RDP62-00631R000300070010-2

	in language which, in effect, proxised him a fair trial before hanging him. Further, Mr. STATINTL
	letter of appointment from the Director of Personnel designates him an examiner. After considerable dis-
	cussion with Mr. I think we have removed some STATINTL
	of the dumage caused by this preliminary pulling and hauling, but probably not all. In any event, my
	capitalation to his desires, was in conjunction with
STATINTL	his agreement that he and I, in consultation with you and Mr. prepare a written form by which
	the Director of Personnel would appoint persons to
	serve in subsequent cases. I stack a copy of a STATINTL
STÄTINTL	agreement, to recommend to Mr. STATINTL
•	he substitute for the two appointments of Mr.
STÄTINTL	I also abtuch a draft I had prepared and had intended to ask Mr. to send to the employee to replace
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	the one earlier sent to him. (In this case, we are
	headling this problem by statements read into the
	record by Mr
	Personnel use these in named course. It might also
	be well to arrange with Personnel for them to compult with us at an early stage in their handling of these
	cases.
	Related to all these is the memoral understanding of the use of the ERS. scantiling called a Special ERS, although where he draws the line certainly is not alear. We might consider drawing up a paper for Personnel, setting forth the general lines of demonstration between an ERB, a Special ERB (if any), and these inquiries now under way under the suspices of the Office of Personnel.
Enclosure	STATINTL Assistant Counsel
STATINTL OGC jgg	on:
Origin	nel & 1 - Addressee / 1 - PERSONNEL 7-1
STATINTL	1 - Chrono 1 - East Blåg. 1 - Curie Hall